

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/942,997	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MAEDA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Carlos Lopez	<b>Art Unit</b> 1731	

**All Participants:**

(1) Carlos Lopez.

(2) Alan Kasper.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 26 September 2003
**Time:** 2pm
**Type of Interview:**
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

None

**Claims discussed:**

7

**Prior art documents discussed:**


None

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was noted to applicant's representative that the specification lacks antecedent basis for the claimed terminology included in claim 7 reciting "said upper and lower dies approach each other". It was thus agreed upon to amend claim 7 at lines 11-12, by deleting "making the molding surfaces of said upper and said lower dies approach each other to press said glass gob" and inserting "-pressing said glass gob by said upper and said lower dies-".